

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			TINGEN LANCED DESCRIPTION	A THORNEY DOOYET NO.	CONCIDIAL TIONING
APPLICATION N	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,410		08/24/2001	Joseph A. Kwak	I-2-203US	4309
24374	7590	01/30/2004		EXAMINER	
VOLPE.	AND KO	ENIG, P.C.	TSEGAYE, SABA		
DEPT. IC	C				
UNITED	PLAZA, S	UITE 1600	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
30 SOUT	H 17TH ST	reet	2662		
PHILADI	ELPHIA, I	PA 19103	DATE MAII ED: 01/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

o- : 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/939,410	Art Unit 2662  Dirrespondence address  ITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in ely filed Request for Continued  In final rejection, whichever is later. In no the final rejection.  FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in cition, even if timely filed, may reduce any eriod set forth in fit the appeal.  See NOTE below);  Perially reducing or simplifying the inally rejected claims.  Peparate, timely filed amendment idered but does NOT place the to issues which were newly will be entered and an entered appended.			
·	Examiner				
	Saba Tsegaye				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 15 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of	cation. A proper reply to a chiphaces the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	the final rejection.	)		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee und the final Office action; or (2) as set forth	er in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	•				
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying	the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
<ul><li>4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li></ul>		eparate, timely filed amendme	nt		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for		idered but does NOT place the	€		
application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u> 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because		to issues which were newly			
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	_	_			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-31.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u>11 and13</u> .			
10. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
<del></del>					
		OHN PEZZLO MARY EXAMINER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Applica

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Examiner believes that the claims, given their broad reasonable interpretation, read on the references applied. Applicant argues that Schramm does not describe changing the modulation scheme to increase the data rate in response to a low number of retransmissions. Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's contention. Schramm clearly discloses that a particular coding/modulation scheme is selected based upon an evaluation of the current system and/or channel characteristics, received signal strength, current system loading, etc. The alternative FEC coding and/or modulation scheme is used when the counted number of requested for retransmitted blocks exeeds some predetermined threshold, in this case QPSK modulation. Also, if a number of negative acknowledgement signals (that is a low number of retransmissions) does not exceed a predetermined threshold ARQ techniques use a high level modulation. Furtermore, it is clear, in the Schramm reference, that when the quality of the connection is sufficient the data rate is increased, in their case 16QAM.

JOHN PEZZLO PRIMARY EXAMINED